

ATTACHMENT F

Compliance with Water Quality Objectives

This section includes general direction on determining compliance with the nondegradation, narrative and numerical objectives described in this Chapter. (Specific direction on compliance with certain objectives is included, in italics, following the text of the objective.) It is not feasible to cover all circumstances and conditions which could be created by all discharges. Therefore, it is within the discretion of the Regional Board to establish other, or additional, direction on compliance with objectives of this Plan. Where more than one objective is applicable, the **stricter objective shall apply**. (The only exception is where a regionwide objective has been superseded by the adoption of a site-specific objective by the Regional Board.) Where objectives are not specifically designated, downstream objectives apply to upstream tributaries.

Narrative and Numerical Objectives

The sections below provide additional direction on determining compliance with the narrative and numerical objectives of this Basin Plan.

Pollution and/or Nuisance

In determining compliance with narrative objectives which include the terms “pollution” and or “nuisance,” the Regional Board considers the following definitions from the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act.

Pollution -- an alteration of the waters of the State by waste to the degree which unreasonably affects either of the following:

- such waters for beneficial uses.
- facilities which serve these beneficial uses.

“Pollution” may include “contamination.” Contamination means an impairment of the quality of the waters of the State by waste to a degree which creates a hazard to the public health through poisoning or through the spread of disease. Contamination includes any equivalent effect resulting from the disposal of waste, whether or not waters of the State are affected.

Nuisance -- Anything which meets all of the following requirements:

- Is injurious to health, or is indecent or offensive to the senses, or an obstruction to the free use of property, so as to interfere with the comfortable enjoyment of life or property.
- Affects at the same time an entire community or neighborhood, or any considerable number of persons, although the extent of the annoyance or damage inflicted upon individuals may be unequal.
- Occurs during or as a result of the treatment or disposal of wastes.

References to Taste and Odor, Human Health and Toxicity (also see “acute toxicity” and “chronic toxicity,” below):

In determining compliance with objectives including references to Taste and Odor, Human Health or Toxicity, the Regional Board will consider as evidence relevant and scientifically valid water quality goals from sources such as drinking water standards from the California Department of Health Services (State “Action Levels”), the National Interim Drinking Water Standards, Proposition 65 Lawful Levels, National Ambient Water Quality Criteria (USEPA’s “Quality Criteria for Water” for the years 1986, 1976 and 1972; “Ambient Water Quality Criteria,” volumes 1980, 1984, 1986, 1987 and 1989), the National Academy of Sciences’ Suggested No-Adverse-Response Levels (SNARL), USEPA’s Health and Water Quality Advisories, as well as other relevant and scientifically valid evidence.

References to Agriculture or AGR designations:

In determining compliance with objectives including references to the AGR designated use, the Regional Board will refer to water quality goals and recommendations from sources such as the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, University of California Cooperative Extension, Committee of Experts, and McKee and Wolf’s “Water Quality Criteria” (1963).

References to “Natural High Quality Waters”:

The Regional Board generally considers “natural high quality water(s)” to be those waters with ambient water quality equal to, or better than, current drinking water standards. However, the Regional Board also recognizes that some waters with poor chemical quality may support important ecosystems (e.g., Mono Lake).

References to “10 percent significance level”:

A statistical hypothesis is a statement about a random variable's probability distribution, and a decision-making procedure about such a statement is a hypothesis test. In testing a hypothesis concerning the value of a population mean, the null hypothesis is often used. The null hypothesis is that there is no difference between the population means (e.g., the mean value of a water quality parameter after the discharge is no different than before the discharge.) First a level of significance to be used in the test is specified, and then the regions of acceptance and rejection for evaluating the obtained sample mean are determined.

At the **10 percent significance level**, assuming normal distribution, the acceptance region (where one would correctly accept the null hypothesis) is the interval which lies under 90 percent of the area of the standard normal curve. Thus, a level of **significance of 10 percent** signifies that when the population mean is correct as specified, the sample mean will fall in the areas of rejection only 10 percent of the time.

If the hypothesis is rejected when it should be accepted, a Type I error has been made. In choosing a **10 percent level of significance**, there are 10 chances in 100 that a Type I error was made, or the hypothesis was rejected when it should have been accepted (i.e., one is 90 percent confident that the right decision was made.)

The **10 percent significance level** is often incorrectly referred to as the 90 percent significance level. As explained above, the significance level of a test should be low, and the confidence level of a confidence interval should be high.

References to "Means" (e.g., annual mean, mean of monthly means), "Medians" and "90th percentile values":

"**Mean**" is the arithmetic mean of all data. "**Annual mean**" is the arithmetic mean of all data collected in a one-year period. "**Mean of monthly mean**" is the arithmetic mean of 30-day averages (arithmetic means). The **median** is the value which half of the values of the population exceed and half do not. The **average value** is the arithmetic mean of all data. For a **90th percentile value**, only 10% of data exceed this value.

Compliance determinations shall be based on available analyses for the time interval associated with the discharge. If only one sample is collected during the time period associated with the water quality objective, (e.g., monthly mean), that sample

shall serve to characterize the discharge for the entire interval. Compliance based upon multiple samples shall be determined through the application of appropriate statistical methods.

Standard Analytical Methods to Determine Compliance with Objectives Analytical methods to be used are usually specified in the monitoring requirements of the waste discharge permits. Suitable analytical methods are:

- those specified in 40 CFR Part 136, and/or
- those methods determined by the Regional Board and approved by the USEPA to be equally or more sensitive than 40 CFR Part 136 methods and appropriate for the sample matrix, and/or
- where methods are not specified in 40 CFR Part 136, those methods determined by the Regional Board to be appropriate for the sample matrix

All analytical data shall be reported uncensored with method detection limits and either practical quantitation levels or limits of quantitation identified. Acceptance of data should be based on demonstrated laboratory performance.

For **bacterial analyses**, sample dilutions should be performed so the range of values extends from 2 to 16,000. The detection method used for each analysis shall be reported with the results of the analysis. Detection methods used for coliforms (total and fecal) shall be those presented in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (American Public Health Association et al. 1992), or any alternative method determined by the Regional Board to be appropriate.

For **acute toxicity**, compliance shall be determined by short-term toxicity tests on undiluted effluent using an established protocol (e.g., American Society for Testing and Materials [ASTM], American Public Health Association, USEPA, State Board).

For **chronic toxicity**, compliance shall be determined using the critical life stage (CLS) toxicity tests. At least three approved species shall be used to measure compliance with the toxicity objective. If possible, test species shall include a vertebrate, an invertebrate, and an aquatic plant. After an initial screening period, monitoring may be reduced to the most sensitive species. Dilution and control waters should be obtained from an unaffected area of the receiving waters. For rivers and streams, dilution

water should be obtained immediately upstream of the discharge. Standard dilution water can be used if the above sources exhibit toxicity greater than 1.0 Chronic Toxicity Units. All test results shall be reported to the Regional Board in accordance with the "Standardized Reporting Requirements for Monitoring Chronic Toxicity" (State Board Publication No. 93-2 WQ).

Application of Narrative and Numerical Water Quality Objectives to Wetlands

Although not developed specifically for wetlands, many surface water **narrative objectives** are generally applicable to most wetland types. However, the Regional Board recognizes, as with other types of surface waters such as saline or alkaline lakes, that natural water quality characteristics of some wetlands may not be within the range for which the narrative objectives were developed. The Regional Board will consider site-specific adjustments to the objectives for wetlands (bacteria, pH, hardness, salinity, temperature, or other parameters) as necessary on a case-by-case basis.

The **numerical criteria** to protect one or more beneficial uses of surface waters, where appropriate, may directly apply to wetlands. For example, wetlands which actually are, or which recharge, municipal water supplies should meet human health criteria. The USEPA numeric criteria for protection of freshwater aquatic life, as listed in Quality Criteria for Water—1986, although not developed specifically for wetlands, are generally applicable to most wetland types. As with other types of surface waters, such as saline or alkaline lakes, natural water quality characteristics of some wetlands may not be within the range for which the criteria were developed. Adjustments for pH, hardness, salinity, temperature, or other parameters may be necessary. The Regional Board will consider developing site-specific objectives for wetlands on a case-by-case basis.